USDC SDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 6/17/2022 -
SHIQIANG GAO, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	18-CV-06439 (ALC)(SN)
-against-	SCHEDULING ORDER FOR DAMAGES INQUEST
UMI SUSHI, INC., et al.,	
Defendants.	
X	

SARAH NETBURN, United States Magistrate Judge:

On June 17, 2022, the Honorable Andrew L. Carter, Jr. referred this case to my docket to conduct an inquest and to report and recommend concerning Plaintiffs' damages. It is now ORDERED that:

- 1. No later than 30 days after entry of this Order, Plaintiff shall file Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law describing all claimed damages and any other monetary relief. Plaintiff should file this document, along with any accompanying exhibits and affidavits, to ECF under "Civil Events/Other Filings/Trial Documents." Plaintiff shall serve a complete copy of his filing and a copy of this Order by mail to Defendant's last known address.
- 2. Plaintiff shall support his Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with affidavits and other documentary evidence. Each proposed finding of fact shall cite the evidence provided.
- 3. Defendant shall file a response, if any, no later than 30 days after service.
 Defendant shall respond to each Finding of Fact and Conclusion of Law asserted by Plaintiff.
 Defendant may also submit Counter Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Defendant shall

Case 1:18-cv-06439-ALC-SN Document 112 Filed 06/17/22 Page 2 of 2

support each finding of fact (in both its response to Plaintiff's filing, as well as its own Counter-

Statement) with affidavits or other documentary evidence. Each proposed finding of fact shall

cite the evidence provided.

4. The Court hereby notifies the parties that it may conduct this inquest based solely

upon the written submissions of the parties. See Action S.A. v. Marc Rich & Co., 951 F.2d 504,

508 (2d Cir. 1991); Fustok v. ContiCommodity Servs. Inc., 873 F.2d 38, 40 (2d Cir. 1989). Any

party seeking an evidentiary hearing on damages must set forth reasons why the inquest should

not be based upon the written submissions alone and include a description of what witnesses

would be called to testify and the nature of the evidence that would be submitted.

5. A courtesy copy of any document filed with the Court shall be sent or delivered to

the Court as required by the Individual Practices of Judge Netburn, paragraph III(b).

SO ORDERED.

SARAH NETBURN

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED: June 17, 2022

New York, New York